

## DRY LEADER FOR COLONEL GARDNER

Charles M. Hay, Recognized as a Leading State Prohibitionist, is Ready for Work

Charles M. Hay, who is recognized as the leader of the "drys" in Missouri, will stump the state in the interest of Col. Frederick D. Gardner, the Democratic nominee for governor, and the entire national and state tickets.

Hay formerly represented Callaway County in the legislature, but for several years has practiced law in St. Louis. He supported John M. Atkinson for governor in the recent primary campaign.

"The Democratic party did not make any mistake in nominating Col. Gardner," said Mr. Hay.

"He is a man of distinct personality, is fearless, has been a successful business man, and has the esteem and respect of the voters of Missouri. His popularity was evidenced by his vote at the primaries. It is true I supported Mr. Atkinson, but there will be no man in this state who will give our nominee for governor more hearty support than I."

"I am ready to start out at once and tour the counties to re-elect President Wilson and help make Gardner the successor to Governor Major."

"The coming campaign will be waged on strictly party lines. The liquor question will not be injected into the fight. Missouri is a Democratic state. With the wet and dry controversy entirely eliminated, it assures a victory for the entire Democratic state ticket."

"I want to see President Wilson honored with a tremendous majority in Missouri, so that the electoral vote of this state will be cast as a credit to the Democrats of Missouri. It is my same wish to see my friend Fred Gardner win by a margin so large as to convince the voters of the United States that Missouri is not a doubtful state."

Mr. Hay is already at work on a speech he will deliver at the opening of the Democratic campaign.

## "SHE'S GONE" FOR A YEAR

The Old Straw Hat Which Will Not Do Duty Until Next Summer

Yes, Aramantia, dear, our straw hat has gone. It passed on not to return until next June following the edict that our Pet Clayton promulgated when he was mayor of Greater St. Joseph—but when balm June arrives its owner will dig it out from beneath a lot of 1916 almanacs and other sequestered bric-a-brac.

He will say to his wife that in this instance, at least, he has proven himself of a discreet and saving disposition, inasmuch as the aforesaid straw hat of the vintage of 1916 is neither marred nor maltreated in any way. He will aver that any of our aliens professing the knowledge to make boots black and hats white will be able to restore this stray coronet for another season's service. In this wise, brags he, the grasping merchant who barbers in headwear at a great profit to himself will be foiled in his plot to deplete the family treasury, for a hat is a hat, if it's on a horse.

These are the plans that meander through the mind of the Panama-owner when September morn comes. He figured the same way last spring, when he carefully cached his soft-felt creation for use this fall. He allowed, in short, that true economy as to headpieces lieth in the art of purchasing fall hats in the spring and spring hats in the fall, and wearing and saving them accordingly.

But does he get by with this subtle and uncanny plot? Not by a darn sight or a parasite or any other sort of sight!

No matter how carefully he guarded that Borsalino last spring, he will find that it is awfully and frightfully fearful for fall—and this bright young Panama so particularly preserved at present will prove most palpably impossible of perfect preservation for the spring!

Costly straw hats die hard, but once the idea of September comes along they are dead—dead beyond recall. This wasteful country should ponder upon the vast losses accruing from the passing of healthy and healthful straws each year.

And, by the way—what becomes of 'em, anyhow?

## HEAR ONLY ONE SIDE OF THE GREAT CONFLICT

(Continued from Page One)

during the open season there the sport was most excellent. One of the most pleasurable and exciting events of his late visit home was when he and his brother, just prior to his return home, went out into the wilds of his native home during the hunting season, which is but ten days, and bagged three moose, the largest weighing 900 pounds.

## HUGHES RUNNING FOR PRESIDENT

They have snapped him in a bucket in the bowels of a mine;

They have snapped him on the beaches as he battled with the brine;

He's been mugged beside the throttle in a locomotive cab,

And beside a quarry windless, hauling up a granite slab.

And he always looks unhappy and he always hangs his head.

But a candidate must do it; for it proves his blood is red.

He shakes hands with noted pitchers, he shakes hands with engineers;

He goes up with aviators, though he quakes with horrid fears,

He ascends tall snow-capped mountains to their tipmost, topmost dome,

And you notice—in the pictures—that he's rather be at home.

In new subway excavations he goes sloshing through the mud.

For his managers demand it; it reveals he has red blood.

In a soiled and tattered sweater and a cap upon his thatch,

He is pictured posing proudly with a fish he didn't catch.

In the dark and trackless forest, where the awful screech owls hoot,

He is snapped beside a rifle and a bear he didn't shoot.

For in this, our country's annals, since elections first began,

These things prove a politician is a real red-blooded man.

## THAT DEAR OLD K. C. JOURNAL

The dear old Kansas City Journal! How we do love to read its quaint editorial shots. Like a whisper from the dead past they are, the dead, dead past, when party newspapers would endorse a party candidate even though he were a convicted burglar and would then stand "flatfooted" on a party platform if it were made of red-hot steel rails. Now everybody knows that Judge Hughes never was the Journal's choice; the Journal was for Weeks or some other hidebound believer in the right of the "money power" to rule. But the Journal, nevertheless, is trying its best to chirp its contentment with its party candidate. And it's putting up a pretty fair imitation at that.—Linneus Bulletin.

## ORDER OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF MISSOURI ss.

County of Buchanan

In the Buchanan County Circuit Court,

October Term, 1916.

The State of Missouri, at the relation

to and the use of R. D. Fulka, Collector

of Revenue of Buchanan County, in the

State of Missouri, vs. Z. T. Buchanan.

Action to enforce tax lien.

Now at this day comes the plaintiff,

by counsel before the Clerk of the Circuit

Court of Buchanan County, Missouri, in

vacation, and it appearing from the return of

the Sheriff, and to the satisfaction of the

Clerk, that the defendant, Z. T. Buchanan, is a

non-resident of the State of Missouri, and

cannot be summoned in this action; it is

therefore, ordered by the Court, that publication

be made notifying said defendant that an action has been

commenced against him by petition, in the

Circuit Court of Buchanan County, in the

State of Missouri, the object and

general nature of which is to enforce the

lien of the State of Missouri on the taxes

upon the following described lands, to

wit: Lots one (1) and two (2), Block

three (3), Hyde Park Place, an addition

to the City of St. Joseph, Buchanan

County, Missouri, and to recover the

amount of taxes due on said lands for the

years 1911, 1912, 1913 and 1914, that un-

less he be and appear at the next regu-

lar term of this Court, to be begun and

held at the Court House in the City of

St. Joseph, Buchanan County, Missouri,

on the first Monday in October, 1916,

and on or before the third day thereof

if the term shall so long continue; if not,

then before the end of the term, and answer

or demur to plaintiff's petition, the same

will be taken as confessed and judgment

rendered accordingly. It is further ordered

that a copy hereof be published in the St. Joseph

Observer, a newspaper printed and published at

St. Joseph, Buchanan County, Missouri, for

four weeks successively, the last insertion

to be at least fifteen days before the first

day of the next October term of this

Court.

A true copy. Attest:

ROSS C. COX, Clerk.

(SEAL) By EMMETT J. CROUSE, Deputy.

## THEY REALLY "HATED" TO HAND OUT THE PIE

(Continued from Page One)

sity during the same period compelled two or three other Republican state officials to place nieces, nephews and other blood relatives on the penitentiary payroll, and through "exchanging or swapping" jobs with officials, also in other state departments.

The blue book autopsy of the state board of health under Republican rule reveals Chief Clerk Crampton drawing \$1,800 per annum because he was a brother-in-law of one of the chief officers of the board. This department otherwise nearly suffocated in the atmosphere of nepotism under the rule of Hadley.

## And Even Herby's Father-in-Law

The best is saved for the last; even the Kansas governor of Missouri was not above his henchman in seeing that the family got theirs, for the blue book says that Hadley's father-in-law, Charles S. Lee, had a piece of plum pie in the shape of a position in the state insurance department that paid \$1,800 a year.

During these four years of Republican rule in Missouri a prominent Jefferson City family had a son, and other relatives by marriage, on the state payroll, and several Republican senators and representatives saw to it that their dependents grew fat and older in easy state berths at the cost of the taxpayers of Missouri.

Nepotism also flourished then, like now, in the City of St. Louis and everywhere else that Republicans held the controlling reins. The St. Louis court of appeals, while Republican, carried relatives of officials on the payroll. Several Republican circuit courts employed relatives of state, city and county officials as stenographers. Many Republican county officials also had either their own or relatives of state and county officials on their payrolls.

## OLD-TIMER CELEBRATES IN COUNCIL BLUFFS

John Beno of Council Bluffs, Iowa, one of the prosperous merchants of that city, is this week celebrating the fiftieth anniversary of his advent into business at that place, and Thomas H. ("Hitt") Ritchie of this city is one of the few remaining residents of St. Joseph who knew him here in St. Joseph, where he was born and began his career in life. Mr. Ritchie says that the father of the present Beno was one of the most active of the residents of St. Joseph in the Robidoux times and that he and his son did much in the early development of this city. The Council Bluffs Beno is still an active business man and head of the largest clothing house in Iowa.

## ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE

Notice is hereby given that Letters of Administration, with Will annexed, upon the estate of George Bauman, deceased, have been granted to the undersigned, by the Circuit Court of Buchanan County, Missouri, bearing date of the 9th day of September, 1916.

All persons having claims against said estate are required to exhibit them to me for allowance within six months from date of said letters, or they may be precluded from any benefit of such estate; and if said claims be not exhibited within one year from the date of the publication of this notice, they shall be forever barred.

ALBERT SCHOLZ, Admr., with Will Annexed.

## ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE

Notice is hereby given that Letters of Administration, with Will annexed, upon the estate of George Bauman, deceased, have been granted to the undersigned, by the Circuit Court of Buchanan County, Missouri, bearing date of the 1st day of July, 1916, and that said letters be not exhibited within one year from the date of the publication of this notice, they shall be forever barred.

EDWARD C. RAY, Administrator.

## NOTICE OF FINAL SETTLEMENT

Notice is hereby given to all creditors and others interested in the estate of Sarah E. Gibson, deceased, that I, Minnie E. Gibson, Administratrix of said estate, intend to make Final Settlement thereof at the next term of the Probate Court of Buchanan County, State of Missouri, to be held at the court house in St. Joseph, Mo., on the second Monday of September, 1916.

MINNIE E. GIBSON, Administratrix.

## NOTICE OF FINAL SETTLEMENT

Notice is hereby given to all creditors and others interested in the estate of Albert E. Hawken, deceased, that I, Fred W. Hawken, Administrator with Will Annexed of said estate, intend to make Final Settlement thereof at the next term of the Probate Court of Buchanan County, State of Missouri, to be held at the court house in St. Joseph, Mo., on the second Monday of September, 1916.

FRED W. HAWKEN, Administrator with Will Annexed.

## ORDER OF PUBLICATION

In the Circuit Court of Buchanan County, Missouri, to the Clerk of the Court, Abby Bloomer, Fannie O'Connor, Abbie Bloomer, Annie Bloomer and Helen Bloomer, Plaintiffs, vs. Alexander M. Mitchell and Mary A. Mitchell, and the unknown heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell, deceased, Defendants.

And it further appearing from allegation in the petition that the interests of said heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell, deceased, are described in plaintiff's petition as follows:

That the interests or claims of said unknown persons, as above described, and so far as known to plaintiffs, are described under and by virtue of a deed conveying said above described real estate to Alexander M. Mitchell, and which deed was executed by David D. Mitchell on June 5, 1857, filed June 10, 1857, recorded in Book O, at page 570, in the office of the Recorder of Deeds of Buchanan County, Missouri. And that said unknown persons derive, or claim to derive, their title or claims to said land as co-heirs, heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell.

It is therefore, ordered by the Clerk of this Court, in vacation, that the Defendants, Alexander M. Mitchell and Mary A. Mitchell, and the unknown heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell, deceased, be and appear at the next term of this Court, in vacation, to answer plaintiff's petition, the same will be taken as confessed and judgment rendered accordingly.

And it further appearing from allegation in the petition that the interests of said heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell, deceased, are described in plaintiff's petition as follows:

That the interests or claims of said unknown persons, as above described, and so far as known to plaintiffs, are described under and by virtue of a deed conveying said above described real estate to Alexander M. Mitchell, and which deed was executed by David D. Mitchell on June 5, 1857, filed June 10, 1857, recorded in Book O, at page 570, in the office of the Recorder of Deeds of Buchanan County, Missouri. And that said unknown persons derive, or claim to derive, their title or claims to said land as co-heirs, heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell.

It is therefore, ordered by the Clerk of this Court, in vacation, that the Defendants, Alexander M. Mitchell and Mary A. Mitchell, and the unknown heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell, deceased, be and appear at the next term of this Court, in vacation, to answer plaintiff's petition, the same will be taken as confessed and judgment rendered accordingly.

And it further appearing from allegation in the petition that the interests of said heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell, deceased, are described in plaintiff's petition as follows:

That the interests or claims of said unknown persons, as above described, and so far as known to plaintiffs, are described under and by virtue of a deed conveying said above described real estate to Alexander M. Mitchell, and which deed was executed by David D. Mitchell on June 5, 1857, filed June 10, 1857, recorded in Book O, at page 570, in the office of the Recorder of Deeds of Buchanan County, Missouri. And that said unknown persons derive, or claim to derive, their title or claims to said land as co-heirs, heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell.

It is therefore, ordered by the Clerk of this Court, in vacation, that the Defendants, Alexander M. Mitchell and Mary A. Mitchell, and the unknown heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell, deceased, be and appear at the next term of this Court, in vacation, to answer plaintiff's petition, the same will be taken as confessed and judgment rendered accordingly.

And it further appearing from allegation in the petition that the interests of said heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell, deceased, are described in plaintiff's petition as follows:

That the interests or claims of said unknown persons, as above described, and so far as known to plaintiffs, are described under and by virtue of a deed conveying said above described real estate to Alexander M. Mitchell, and which deed was executed by David D. Mitchell on June 5, 1857, filed June 10, 1857, recorded in Book O, at page 570, in the office of the Recorder of Deeds of Buchanan County, Missouri. And that said unknown persons derive, or claim to derive, their title or claims to said land as co-heirs, heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell.

It is therefore, ordered by the Clerk of this Court, in vacation, that the Defendants, Alexander M. Mitchell and Mary A. Mitchell, and the unknown heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell, deceased, be and appear at the next term of this Court, in vacation, to answer plaintiff's petition, the same will be taken as confessed and judgment rendered accordingly.

And it further appearing from allegation in the petition that the interests of said heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell, deceased, are described in plaintiff's petition as follows:

That the interests or claims of said unknown persons, as above described, and so far as known to plaintiffs, are described under and by virtue of a deed conveying said above described real estate to Alexander M. Mitchell, and which deed was executed by David D. Mitchell on June 5, 1857, filed June 10, 1857, recorded in Book O, at page 570, in the office of the Recorder of Deeds of Buchanan County, Missouri. And that said unknown persons derive, or claim to derive, their title or claims to said land as co-heirs, heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell.

It is therefore, ordered by the Clerk of this Court, in vacation, that the Defendants, Alexander M. Mitchell and Mary A. Mitchell, and the unknown heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell, deceased, be and appear at the next term of this Court, in vacation, to answer plaintiff's petition, the same will be taken as confessed and judgment rendered accordingly.

And it further appearing from allegation in the petition that the interests of said heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell, deceased, are described in plaintiff's petition as follows:

That the interests or claims of said unknown persons, as above described, and so far as known to plaintiffs, are described under and by virtue of a deed conveying said above described real estate to Alexander M. Mitchell, and which deed was executed by David D. Mitchell on June 5, 1857, filed June 10, 1857, recorded in Book O, at page 570, in the office of the Recorder of Deeds of Buchanan County, Missouri. And that said unknown persons derive, or claim to derive, their title or claims to said land as co-heirs, heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell.

It is therefore, ordered by the Clerk of this Court, in vacation, that the Defendants, Alexander M. Mitchell and Mary A. Mitchell, and the unknown heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell, deceased, be and appear at the next term of this Court, in vacation, to answer plaintiff's petition, the same will be taken as confessed and judgment rendered accordingly.

And it further appearing from allegation in the petition that the interests of said heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell, deceased, are described in plaintiff's petition as follows:

That the interests or claims of said unknown persons, as above described, and so far as known to plaintiffs, are described under and by virtue of a deed conveying said above described real estate to Alexander M. Mitchell, and which deed was executed by David D. Mitchell on June 5, 1857, filed June 10, 1857, recorded in Book O, at page 570, in the office of the Recorder of Deeds of Buchanan County, Missouri. And that said unknown persons derive, or claim to derive, their title or claims to said land as co-heirs, heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell.

It is therefore, ordered by the Clerk of this Court, in vacation, that the Defendants, Alexander M. Mitchell and Mary A. Mitchell, and the unknown heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell, deceased, be and appear at the next term of this Court, in vacation, to answer plaintiff's petition, the same will be taken as confessed and judgment rendered accordingly.

And it further appearing from allegation in the petition that the interests of said heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell, deceased, are described in plaintiff's petition as follows:

That the interests or claims of said unknown persons, as above described, and so far as known to plaintiffs, are described under and by virtue of a deed conveying said above described real estate to Alexander M. Mitchell, and which deed was executed by David D. Mitchell on June 5, 1857, filed June 10, 1857, recorded in Book O, at page 570, in the office of the Recorder of Deeds of Buchanan County, Missouri. And that said unknown persons derive, or claim to derive, their title or claims to said land as co-heirs, heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell.

It is therefore, ordered by the Clerk of this Court, in vacation, that the Defendants, Alexander M. Mitchell and Mary A. Mitchell, and the unknown heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell, deceased, be and appear at the next term of this Court, in vacation, to answer plaintiff's petition, the same will be taken as confessed and judgment rendered accordingly.

And it further appearing from allegation in the petition that the interests of said heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell, deceased, are described in plaintiff's petition as follows:

That the interests or claims of said unknown persons, as above described, and so far as known to plaintiffs, are described under and by virtue of a deed conveying said above described real estate to Alexander M. Mitchell, and which deed was executed by David D. Mitchell on June 5, 1857, filed June 10, 1857, recorded in Book O, at page 570, in the office of the Recorder of Deeds of Buchanan County, Missouri. And that said unknown persons derive, or claim to derive, their title or claims to said land as co-heirs, heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell.

It is therefore, ordered by the Clerk of this Court, in vacation, that the Defendants, Alexander M. Mitchell and Mary A. Mitchell, and the unknown heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell, deceased, be and appear at the next term of this Court, in vacation, to answer plaintiff's petition, the same will be taken as confessed and judgment rendered accordingly.

And it further appearing from allegation in the petition that the interests of said heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell, deceased, are described in plaintiff's petition as follows:

That the interests or claims of said unknown persons, as above described, and so far as known to plaintiffs, are described under and by virtue of a deed conveying said above described real estate to Alexander M. Mitchell, and which deed was executed by David D. Mitchell on June 5, 1857, filed June 10, 1857, recorded in Book O, at page 570, in the office of the Recorder of Deeds of Buchanan County, Missouri. And that said unknown persons derive, or claim to derive, their title or claims to said land as co-heirs, heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell.

It is therefore, ordered by the Clerk of this Court, in vacation, that the Defendants, Alexander M. Mitchell and Mary A. Mitchell, and the unknown heirs, devisees, donees, or immediate, or remote, voluntary or involuntary grantees of the said Alexander M. Mitchell, deceased, be and appear at the next term of this Court, in vacation, to answer plaintiff's petition, the same will be taken as confessed and judgment rendered accordingly.

petition; that unless the said defendant shall be and appear at the next term of this Court, to be begun and held at the Court House, in the City of St. Joseph, in Buchanan County, State of Missouri, on the second day of October, 1916, on or before the third day of said term, to answer plaintiff's petition, the same will be taken for confessed as to him and judgment rendered accordingly.

It is further ordered that a copy of this order be published in the St. Joseph Observer, a newspaper published in the County of Buchanan, for four weeks successively, the last insertion of which to be at least fifteen days before the next October term of this Court.

A copy. Attest:

ROSS C. COX, Clerk.

(SEAL) By R. M. DUNCAN, Deputy Clerk.

JNO. D. McNEELY, Attorney for Plaintiff.

## ORDER OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF MISSOURI ss.

County of Buchanan

In the Buchanan County Circuit Court,

to the October Term, A. D. 1916.

Reinert Brothers Construction Com-

pany, Plaintiff, vs. George E. Silver, De-

fendant.

Now at this day came the plaintiff by

its attorney, and it appearing to the sat-

isfaction of the Clerk of the Circuit

Court, in vacation, that said defendant,

George E. Silver, is a non-resident of the

State of Missouri, and does not reside